Appl. No. 10/075,326 Atty. Docket No. CM2502 Amdt. dated April 29, 2005 Reply to Office Action of 3/30/05 Customer No. 27752

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 4, 9, 10 and 28 are now under consideration. Claims 17-26 stand withdrawn from consideration.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the container has a trapezoidal shape (basis at page 5, line 4). Claim 1 now also recites the substrate holder. Basis for the substrate holder appeared in original Claim 13 and in original Claim 14, which latter claim was indicated as allowable in the Office Action of 01/30/04 at page 8. Claims 13 and 14 have been cancelled without prejudice as simply being redundant in view of the amendment of Claim 1. It is submitted that all amendments are fully supported, and entry is requested.

Objections to Drawings

Inasmuch as no claim now under consideration recites the "inclined bottom wall," the "false bottom" and the "second false bottom" referred to at page 2 of the Office Action, it is submitted that the drawings meet the Examiner's objections with respect to the claims as now amended. Withdrawal of the objections is requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

As noted above, Claim 1 now incorporates the substrate holder language of Claim 14, which was earlier designated as allowable. Accordingly, it is submitted that Claim 1, as now amended, and all claims ultimately dependent thereon, are also allowable.

Rejections Under 35 USC 102/103

For the record, there were no rejections under 35 USC 102 or 103 in the Office Action of March 30, 2005.

Rejections Under 35 USC 112

The Examiner's thorough consideration of the operating features of the invention is acknowledged.

All rejections of the claims under §112 are respectfully traversed, to the extent they may apply to the claims as now amended.

With regard to the rejection of Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 28-30 and 33-41 (Office Action, pages 2-3), it is noted that the "dispensing substrate aid" is no longer recited in the claims under consideration. Nor is there any claim element relating to any "inclined bottom" or "false bottom."

With regard to the rejection of Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 28-30 and 33-41 (Office Action, pages 3-4), it is noted that the claims now under consideration do not contain any recitation of a first or second "false bottom," nor "inclined bottom."

Appl. No. 10/075,326 Atty. Docket No. CM2502 Amdt. dated April 29, 2005 Reply to Office Action of 3/30/05 Customer No. 27752

In light of the amendments presented herewith, it is submitted that all claims now under consideration fully meet the requirements of §112. Reconsideration and withdrawal of all rejections under §112 are requested.

Early and favorable action on amended Claims 1, 4, 9, 10 and 28 is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By

Date: April 29, 2005 Customer No. 27752 Jerry J. Yetter / Registration No. 26,598 (513) 627-2996

Page 5 of 5